1	wo	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Bradley Dane Loose,) No. CIV 04-2453-PHX-EHC (DKD)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	vs.	
12	Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance (Doc. #14), filed April	
16	11, 2006. Plaintiff is requesting an extension of time to file his response to Defendant's Motion	
17	to Dismiss. Upon good cause shown,	
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED granting Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance (Doc. #14).	
19	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is due no later than August 18, 2006.	
20	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance (Doc. #16),	
21	as moot. It appears to the Court that documents 14 and 16 are a duplicate, with the exception	
22	of a letter addressed to the Clerk of the Court submitted as the last page of document 16.	
23	DATED this 2 nd day of May, 2006.	
24		
25	The state of the s	
26	David K. Duncan	
27		United States Magistrate Judge
28		